

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,121	03/16/2005	Puhua Zhang	56816.1640	8913
30734 BAKER & HO	7590 02/02/200 OSTETLER LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
WASHINGTO	ON SQUARE, SUITE 11	MENDEZ, Z	MENDEZ, ZULMARIAM	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/528,121	ZHANG, PUHUA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ZULMARIAM MENDEZ	1795		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	r Reply	,
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	6(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
2a)□	·—	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-9 is/are withdrawn f Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) are subjected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	
Applicati	on Papers	
10)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Cepies of the certified copies of the priority accuments for the priority documents the copies of the certified copies of the priority documents all copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau isee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application No ty documents have been received in this National Stage (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachmen	• 7	6-1-A 60-1
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/27/2006.

- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
  6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/528,121 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-3 in the reply filed on January 16, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that the subject matter of the groups overlaps. This is not found persuasive because the elected Group I, drawn to a method for converting water into fuel, lacks the special technical feature of the non-elected group II which is the particular apparatus for converting water into fuel. There is no special technical feature linking the abovementioned groups. It should be noted that since this application was filed under 35 USC 371, it is subject to the unity of invention standard, not standard US restriction practice.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,121

Art Unit: 1795

 Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masselin (FR 2284665) in view of Hideaki (EP 0846847).

[A preliminary translation of Masselin was obtained from Babelfish (<a href="http://babelfish.yahoo.com">http://babelfish.yahoo.com</a>). A full English translation has been requested by the examiner and will be forwarded to applicant in a separate communication as soon as it is received.]

With regard to claims 1 and 3, Masselin discloses a method for preparation and treatment of a liquid or gas fluid (page 1, lines 1-3), comprising mixing water with ethanol in a certain ratio by weight (page 1, lines 9-14, 18-20; page 2, lines 17-21), heating and evaporating the obtained mixture to obtain a vapor mixture (page 1, lines 18-23; page 2, lines 26-28) and passing the said vapor mixture through an electric field of 1 to 15KV (page 1, lines 20-21; page 2, lines 30-32; page 3, lines 2-3) but fails to explicitly disclose wherein such electric field is a DC electric field.

Hideaki discloses treating a mixture of water and ethanol with a direct current electric field ranging from 6KV to 15KV (page 3, lines 18-21) for charging the gas mixture and improve engine efficiency. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, would have found it obvious to use a DC electric field, as taught by Hideaki in the process of Masselin in order to charge the gas mixture and improve engine efficiency.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masselin
in view of Hideaki, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Davis et al. (US
Patent no. 4.565.548).

Art Unit: 1795

With regard to claim 2, Masselin in view of Hideaki discloses all of the process steps, as applied to claim 1 above, wherein the mixture of water with ethanol is made in predetermined proportions but fails to explicitly teach that such ratio is of 4:1 to 1:1 by weight.

Davis discloses a fuel composition produced by a mixture of an alcohol, such as ethanol in the amount of about 2 to 10 volume % (~0.1578 to 7.89 wt%) and about 0.01 to 0.5 wt % of water (col. 2, lines 42-46, 53-55) in order to provide a clear stable alcohol-water fuel composition. therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a predetermined mixture of water and ethanol, as taught by Davis, in the process of Masselin in view of Hideaki in order to provide a clear stable alcohol-water fuel composition.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to ZULMARIAM MENDEZ whose telephone number is
(571)272-9805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to
5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa D. Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,121 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Z. M./ Examiner, Art Unit